UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/747,781 | 12/29/2003 | Frederick A. Jelley | 60130-1734;02MRA364,368 6425 | |
| | 7590 07/10/200 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 400 WEST MA | | BURCH, MELODY M | | |
| SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAN | л, MI 48009 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3657 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/10/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/747,781 | JELLEY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Melody M. Burch | 3657 | |

| | Melody M. Burch | 3657 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance w | Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 sension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | " | "1 - d | C (l l - (C |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | ,, | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>see number 11</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4 | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | npliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4.6.8,12-15 and 17-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7 and 16</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (| (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 7/9/09 | /Melody M. Burch/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3657 | |
| | - | | |

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The amendment, if entered, would have overcome the rejections using the Trinh reference.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although the amendment rewrites independent claim 1 to include the limitations of objected to claim 5, the combination of amended claim 1 and previously presented claim 8 recite an embodiment including a drive actuator for decreasing the angle between the rotatable brake member and the support that is separate and distinct from an adjustable member comprising a compliant member. This recited embodiment is not illustrated or otherwise disclosed in the originally filed written description. Therefore, the amendment raises a new matter issue.